Evidence for cross-talk between the LH receptor and LH during implantation in mice
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Abstract. The present study investigated the first interaction that occurs between the blastocyst and endometrium during implantation. Given the ethical objections to studying implantation in humans, a mouse model was used to study the dialogue between luteinising hormone (LH) and luteinising hormone receptor (LHCGR). Several studies performed on LHCGR-knockout mice have generated controversy regarding the importance of the dialogue between LH and LHCGR during implantation. There has been no demonstration of a bioactive LH-like signal produced by the murine blastocyst. The first aim of the present study was to examine and quantify, using radioimmunoassay, the generation of a bioactive LH signal by the murine blastocyst. We went on to examine and quantify endometrial Lhcgr expression to validate the mouse model. Expression of LHCGR in mouse uteri was demonstrated using immunohistochemistry and western blot analysis. To quantify the expression of Lh in the mouse blastocyst and Lhcgr in the endometrium, reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and real-time quantitative (q) RT-PCR were performed. The results demonstrate that Lhcgr expression in BALB/c mouse endometrial epithelium is increased at the time of implantation and indicate that LHCGR may contribute to the implantation process. In support of this hypothesis, we identified a bioactive LH signal at the time of murine blastocyst implantation.
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Introduction

Implantation is a very complex and coordinated process whereby the trophoblast establishes close contact with a specialised tissue contributed by the mother called the endometrium (Aplin 2000; Aplin and Kimber 2004). Normal implantation is required for a successful pregnancy. Specifically, the following two fundamental conditions are essential: (1) the embryo must undergo a series of complex developmental processes during its transport through the Fallopian tube; and (2) in parallel, the endometrium must achieve adequate receptive status, called the ‘implantation window’ (Psychoyos 1986), which is the optimal period for embryo implantation (Lessey 2000; Sunder and Lenton 2000). Both conditions are necessary to initiate intimate dialogue between the blastocyst and the endometrium (Carson et al. 2000; Paria et al. 2002). To date, the implantation window has not been molecularly defined; however, several potentially defining factors have been identified, including growth factors, cytokines, hormones, extracellular matrix proteins and related enzymes, which are collectively produced by embryonic and maternal tissues of uterine and extrauterine origins (Ghosh and Sengupta 1998; Norwitz et al. 2001; Pan et al. 2006). In addition, the opposing tissue must express cognate receptors for each of these molecules so that implantation cross-talk can occur. Obviously, the study of implantation is not ethically possible in humans and therefore has to be investigated indirectly through the use of animal models or in vitro models. Therefore, small
animal models with a short gestation that is molecularly similar to that of human gestation and that can be manipulated genetically provide an ideal system in which to study the molecular details of the implantation cascade.

At present, the implantation event itself is the only definitive marker of the implantation window and is associated with the appearance of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) in the maternal bloodstream of humans. This hormone is a specific embryonic mediator that announces the embryo’s presence to the maternal organism. Transcription of hCG begins before implantation (Jurisicova et al. 1999), as shown in a study in which the hCG production in fluid that was removed from in vitro-cultured embryos was detected as soon as 170 h after insemination (Fischel et al. 1984). In addition, the results of recent studies demonstrate that hCG plays a crucial role in regulating endometrial cell differentiation and the implantation process; it not only participates in these processes via its endocrine role, but also in a paracrine manner at the maternal–fetal interface (Han et al. 1999; Cameo et al. 2004; Afshar et al. 2007; Licht et al. 2007). Blastocyst hCG increases endometrial secretion of proinflammatory leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF; Perrier d’Hauterive et al. 2004) and macrophage–colony stimulating factor (M-CSF; Licht et al. 2001), increases stromal cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2) expression (Han et al. 1996) and reduces the expression of the decidual marker IGF-BP1 (insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1) during the late secretory phase (Licht et al. 2002; Fuluhi et al. 2006). Blastocyst hCG also increases the production of vascular endothelium growth factor (VEGF) by the endometrial epithelium and stimulates endometrial angiogenesis, suggesting that hCG actively participates in placental development (Zygmont et al. 2002; Berndt et al. 2006). Finally, the fetal allograft contributes to its tolerance by the competent maternal immune system via the FAS–FAS ligand pro-apoptosis system (Kayisli et al. 2003), its inhibitory actions on endometrial interleukin (IL)-6 (Perrier d’Hauterive et al. 2004) and complement C3 and C4 factors (Sherwin et al. 2007) and by stimulating maternal regulatory T cells (Zenzlussen et al. 2006). Another example of an immunomodulatory property of hCG is its capacity to downregulate effector cells, including T helper (Th)1 cells, CD8+ T cells and macrophages, and to increase the CD4+CD25+CD4+ T cell ratio, as shown in non-obese diabetic (NOD) mice (Khan et al. 2001; Kilil et al. 2007).

In contrast, the first maternal tissue encountered by the embryo is the endometrial epithelium, which expresses the LH/chorionic gonadotropin receptor (LHCGR; Perrier d’Hauterive et al. 2004). The LHCGR system was first described in gonadal (ovaries and testis) and non-gonadal tissues in porcine (Mukherjee et al. 1994) endometrium. Together, these observations suggest that the LHCGR system is intimately involved in implantation processes, particularly in humans, where hCG is the specific embryo signal sent to the mother. The cross-talk between hCG and the LHCGR is likely critical for the early steps of implantation, but is very difficult to study in humans for obvious ethical reasons. Therefore, the importance of the LHCGR system during implantation processes has been approached via a murine model.

The main objective of the present study was to investigate the existence of LH–LHCGR dialogue at the maternal–fetal interface during implantation in mice. The existence of this cross-talk is crucial for the validation of the mouse model as a good non-human implantation model for the study of LH–LHCGR communication. Therefore, we first identified and quantified the presence of an LH-like signal produced by the mouse blastocyst. We then demonstrated the bioactivity of this signal by assessing the production of testosterone by Leydig cells. Finally, endometrial Lhcg expression throughout the oestrous cycle of the BALB/c mouse was examined and quantified to determine whether higher Lhcg expression corresponded to the supposed period of embryo implantation. A comprehensive examination of endometrial LHCGR expression in mice and identification of a bioactive LH-like signal are crucial first steps to characterise the LHCGR system in the mouse and may lead to the elucidation of the regulatory pathways involved in the early adhesion and invasion processes of implantation.

Materials and methods

**Animals**

BALB/c mice (5–12 weeks old) and C57 male mice (2–3 months old) were obtained from the University of Liege Animal Center and investigations were conducted with the approval of the University of Liege Ethics Committee for the care of experimental animals (no. 2006/412 and no. 2006/561). Mice were housed under a 12-h light–dark cycle in a temperature-controlled environment, with food and water available ad libitum. Endometrial tissue or granulosa cells served as the positive control for reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) and RNA samples were obtained from BALB/c female mice. Testes and ovaries obtained from BALB/c mice (5 weeks old) were used as a positive control for LHCGR in western blot analyses and immunohistochemistry. Leydig cells used for the LH bioassay were obtained from C57 male mice.

Six-week-old inbred FVB/Nico female mice (Charles River, Saint-Germain sur l’Arbresle, France) were induced to superovulate with intraperitoneal injections of pregnant mare’s serum gonadotropin (PMSG; 3.5IU; Intervet, Boxmeer, The Netherlands) followed 46 h later with an injection of 5 IU hCG (Intervet). The mice were then mated with FVB/Nico male mice. Zygotes were obtained on Day 1 after hCG injection. Only zygotes with two clearly visible pronuclei were cultured further. Embryos were cultured in groups of 20 or 25, incubated at 38.0 ± 0.5°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 in air and allowed to develop in 50-μL droplets of M16 medium under mineral oil (Fertipro, Beemem, Belgium) until Day 5 or Day 6. Some cultures were stopped to kill embryos every day from Day 5 to Day 10. Consequently, embryos and samples of the culture medium were obtained daily from Day 5 to Day 10 and were stored at −20°C until the LH radioimmunoassay (RIA) was performed (Table 1). Blastocysts were sonicated.
for 30 s, then the lysates were centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4°C; the resulting supernatants were harvested immediately before performing the RIA. Uterine horns and blood samples from pregnant and non-pregnant mice were obtained from the FVB/Nico female mice to study implantation sites.

**Vaginal smears**

Vaginal smears were prepared to determine the stage of the oestrous cycle. The mouse vaginas were flushed with 20 µL Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS; Lonza, Verviers, Belgium), which was then deposited on a slide and stained with haematoxylin–eosin (HE) and examined at ×200 magnification by light microscopy.

**Preparation of mouse tissues and cells**

To study implantation sites and LH production during pregnancy, mice were killed on Day 7 of pregnancy by cervical dislocation. Blood samples were taken and the uterine horns were exposed by dissection. Implantation sites were collected by cutting the uteri on either side of each decidual swelling. The embryos were extracted from these implantation sites. All the implantation sites for a given female were pooled, as were all the embryos from the same female. Implantation sites and embryos were stored in M16 medium until the LH RIA was performed. Blood samples were centrifuged to obtain serum, which was stored until the LH RIA. Immediately before performing the RIA, embryos and implantation sites were sonicated for 30 s and then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 10 min at 4°C; the resulting supernatants were harvested for the RIA.

The Leydig cells used for the LH bioassay were obtained from C57 mouse testes, as described by Dufau et al. (1974). Briefly, testes were dispersed mechanically in M199 medium (Lonza; six testes per 10 mL) using sharp scissors, followed by mixing with a magnetic stirrer at room temperature for 10 min. After filtration through nylon mesh (mesh size 100 µm), the cell suspension was washed twice with M199 medium supplemented with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma-Aldrich, Bornem, Belgium), 0.125 mM 1-methyl-3-isobutyl-xanthine (MIX; Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 mM HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich) immediately before the bioassay. Cells obtained using this technique are predominantly Leydig cells, as described previously (Dufau et al. 1974; Ding and Huhtaniemi 1989).

To obtain endometrial tissue, mice were killed by cervical dislocation and dissected to expose the uterine horns, which were opened immediately in situ along the anti-mesometrial axis. One horn from each mouse was frozen in Tissue-Tek (Labonord, Templemars, France) and stored at −80°C for immunohistochemistry; the second horn was used for mRNA extraction and placed in 1.5 mL Hanks’ balanced salt solution (Lanza) containing penicillin (10 000 IU mL⁻¹) and streptomycin (10 000 µg mL⁻¹; HBSS-AB). The endometrium was isolated according to the method described by Buxton and Murdoch (1982). Briefly, the endometrium was isolated accomplished by digesting the tissue for 45 min at 37°C in 5 mg mL⁻¹ collagenase 1α (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in HBSS-AB. The tissue was gently pipetted to disperse the cells during collagenase digestion. Then, 3 mL fresh HBSS-AB was added to quench the reaction and the suspension was passed through a silk filter. The filtered suspension was then centrifuged for 5 min at 360 g. The pelleted cells were washed twice with HBSS-AB and centrifuged at 360 g for 5 min. The number of viable cells was then determined by Trypan blue dye exclusion. Samples were transferred into an Eppendorf tube and centrifuged at 360 g for 5 min. A volume of 500 µL of RNeasy Lysis Buffer (RT; Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) containing 1% β-mercaptoethanol (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to the cells, which were then stored at −80°C until RNA extraction.

The testis and ovaries used as positive controls for western blot analysis were obtained from BALB/c mice and were dissected using the same protocol as used for uterine horn removal. The dissected testes and ovaries were homogenised, incubated in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer and stored at −20°C until protein extraction.

To obtain the pancreas, mice were killed by cervical dislocation and the pancreas dissected. The excised pancreas was homogenised, incubated in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer and stored at −80°C until RNA extraction.

Ovaries were treated to obtain mouse granulosa cells. Female mice were stimulated with one injection of PMS-G (Sigma-Aldrich; 5 IU per 0.1 mL) 48 h before being killed by cervical dislocation and dissected to expose the ovaries. Each ovary was placed in RPMI medium (Lonza) with 1% l-glutamine (Lonza), perforated and then incubated in 199 medium (Lonza) containing 6.8 mM EGTA and 0.2% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min. The ovaries were then incubated in M199 medium containing 1.8 mM EGTA, 0.2% BSA and 0.5 M sucrose for 3 min, washed three times with RPMI–1% l-glutamine and homogenised in RPMI–1% l-glutamine and 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). The resulting suspension was passed through a silk filter. The number of viable cells was determined by Trypan blue dye exclusion and cells were resuspended in RLT–1% β-mercaptoethanol and stored at −80°C until RNA extraction.

The pituitary gland was extracted after mice had been killed by cervical dislocation and the extract was stored in RLT–1% β-mercaptoethanol at −20°C until RNA extraction.

**Radioimmunoassay for LH**

The LH concentrations in 100 µL culture medium were determined using a double-antibody method and a commercially
Bioactivity of LH

To determined LH bioactivity, a procedure described previously by Dufau et al. (1974) and Ding and Hultaniemi (1989) was used. Briefly, Leydig cells were seeded to obtain a final density of 50,000 cells per well in 100 μL M199 medium supplemented with 0.1% BSA, 0.125 mM MIX and 20 mM HEPES. The incubations were performed in triplicate at 34°C for 3 h with shaking at 150 cycles per min in a 95% O₂/5% CO₂ atmosphere. An LH standard curve generated from the known concentrations of LH placed in M16 medium was established that contained defined amounts of LH ranging from 15.6 to 500 pg mL⁻¹ (AFP-5306A). Each sample corresponding to a particular condition (from Day 5 to Day 10) contained 100 μL of the interstitial cell suspension and 100 μL of the LH standard or a 100-μL aliquot of the corresponding embryo culture medium. Samples contained an average of 40 pg mL⁻¹ LH in M16 medium or G2V5Plus, as described above. Control samples contained a cell suspension in M199 medium, M16 or G2V5Plus.

After incubation, the cells and culture medium were harvested from the wells and centrifuged at 435g for 10 min. Then, 150 μL supernatant was removed from each sample and stored at –20°C until the testosterone assay.

Testosterone RIA

Testosterone concentrations were determined by direct RIA using the RIA Testosterone Direct Kit (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RIA was performed in duplicate. The incubation was performed in duplicate in a 37°C water bath for 3 h. Each sample contained 50 μL embryo culture medium collected in the bioassay and 500 μL 1²⁵I-labelled testosterone. Results are expressed as the amount of testosterone produced by Leydig cells after incubation with embryo culture medium.

The LH bioactivity was calculated using the testosterone curve generated from the known concentrations of LH placed in the Leydig cell cultures. An LH standard curve diluted in M16 medium was generated during the bioassay using defined amounts of LH. The testosterone levels produced by the known concentrations of LH were also determined by the RIA. The results of the assay were used to generate a curve of testosterone production resulting from incubation with LH. For each embryo culture medium sample, the concentration of testosterone as determined by the RIA was reported on this curve. The aim of this calculation was to compare the bioactivity of the LH produced by mouse embryos with the bioactivity of a standard LH (AFP-5306A).

Immunohistochemistry

Uteri were embedded in Tissue-Tek and frozen at –80°C. Sections (5 μm) were cut and deposited on slides. The slides were incubated for 2 min in wash buffer (1/10 0.5 M Tris – 5 mM HCl, pH 7.6, 0.9% NaCl, 0.5% Tween 20, 0.2% NaN₃) and fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. The slides were then washed three times in wash buffer for 5 min and blocked with milk (1.5% diluted in DPBS) for 30 min. Slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with a primary polyclonal goat anti-rat LHGR antibody (pAb; Tebu-Bio, Bocchout, Belgium; 4 μg mL⁻¹) diluted in 50 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.6, and 10% NaN₃. The next day, slides were washed three times for 5 min and then incubated with a secondary fluorescein-coupled mouse anti-goat IgG Ab (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:50. After three 5-min washes, the slides were mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Pancreas sections served as the negative control with 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)-stained nuclei. The negative control was established using Ig from goat (IS256 Sigma-Aldrich). Testis sections with Leydig cells served as the positive control.

Western blot analysis

Total cell extracts were prepared by incubating cells in radio-immune precipitation assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 1% Nonidet-P40, 1% Triton X-100; 1% sodium deoxycholate; 0.1% sodium dodecylsulfate (SDS); 5 mM iodoacetamide; 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). Samples were centrifuged at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C, the supernatant collected and frozen at –20°C. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bradford test (BioRad, Nazareth Eke, Belgium) and 20 μg protein was analysed per sample. The extracts were concentrated under reducing conditions and resolved by SDS–10% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Immobilon Transfer Membrane; Millipore, Overijse, Belgium). The membranes were exposed to primary anti-LHGR rabbit pAb (1:1000; GB-30071; Gentaur, Kampenhout, Belgium) diluted in wash buffer with 2% BSA. After extensive washing, the membranes were incubated with a secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody (1 : 1000; #7074; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA). Signals were detected using Fujifilm LAS 4000 (Fujifilm Life Science, Edison, NJ, USA). Testis cell extracts and ovaries cell extracts from wild-type mice served as the positive controls, whereas testis cell extracts from LH/hCG receptor-knockout (LurKO) mice, which were generated by disruption of exon 11 of the Lhcr gene (Zhang et al. 2001a), served as the negative control. Precision Plus Protein Standard Dual Colour (BioRad) standards were used to estimate molecular weight.

RNA extraction for RT-PCR and real-time quantitative RT-PCR

Total cellular RNA was extracted from tissue samples (endometrium, embryos, pancreas, granulosa, anterior pituitary gland cells) using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were treated with RNase-free DNase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). The RNA concentrations of the samples were
determined using a NanoDrop ND-1000 (NanoDrop Products, Wilmington, DE, USA).

For RT-PCR, total RNA (50 ng mL−1) was reverse transcribed using reverse transcriptase and a Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Amplification of cDNA for RT-PCR was performed using a FastStart Taq DNA polymerase kit (Roche) and Eurogentec (Liège, Belgium) primer pairs corresponding to the mouse Lhcgr and Lh cDNA sequences. Primers against the β-actin cDNA sequence were acquired from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY, USA). The Lhcgr, Lh and β-actin primers yielded amplified products of 185, 259 and 250 bp, respectively (Table 2).

The PCR reactions for Lhcgr, Lh and β-actin contained 1.5 mM MgCl2, and 400 nM each of the forward and reverse primers. The PCR cycling conditions for Lhcgr began with an incubation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by amplification by 40 cycles of 45 s at 95°C, 45 s at 59.5°C and 1 min at 72°C. The PCR cycling conditions for Lh began with an incubation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by amplification by 40 cycles of 45 s at 95°C, 45 s at 60°C and 1 min at 72°C. The PCR cycling conditions for β-actin began with an incubation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by amplification by 30 cycles of 45 s at 95°C, 45 s at 55°C and 1 min at 72°C.

Table 2. Sequences of the primers used for quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction and the size of the corresponding amplicon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primers</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>PCR product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lhcgr forward</td>
<td>5′-GAT-GCA-CAG-TGG-CAC-CTT-C-3′</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lhcgr reverse</td>
<td>5′-TCA-GCG-TGG-CAA-CCA-GTA-A-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lhcgr probe</td>
<td>5′-FAM-CTC-ATC-GGC-ACG-TCA-CTC-TAMRA-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lh forward</td>
<td>5′-CAG-TCT-GCA-TCA-CCT-TCA-CC-3′</td>
<td>259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lh reverse</td>
<td>5′-ACA-GGC-CAT-TGG-TTG-AGT-CC-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin forward</td>
<td>5′-TAA-AGA-CCT-CTG-CAA-CAC-AGT-3′</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Actin reverse</td>
<td>5′-CAC-GAT-GGA-GGG-GCC-GGA-CTC-ATC-3′</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gels. The product size of Lhcgr was estimated using an EZ Load 100 bp Molecular Ruler (BioRad), whereas the product size of Lh was estimated using an EZ Load Precision Molecular Mass Standard (BioRad). Lhcgr was also amplified from granulosa cells (positive control) and pancreas (negative control), whereas Lh was also amplified from anterior pituitary gland cells as a positive control. To confirm that the PCR products represented mouse Lhcgr and Lh transcripts, the PCR products were subjected to sequence analysis using a 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer from ABI Prism (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) by the Laboratory of Human Genetics-CHU (Liège, Belgium). DNA contamination during the PCR was assessed by running a sample in which the cDNA was replaced with water.

Real-time quantitative (q) RT-PCR was used to quantify mRNA in a BioRad iCycler according to the TaqMan method (Holland et al. 1991). Each 25 μL reaction for the housekeeping gene 18s consisted of 100 ng + RT sample, 50% iQ Supermix (BioRad), 5% Mix Human 18s RNA 20° (Applied Biosystems) and 25% nuclease-free water (Ambion, Grand Island, NY, USA). Each 25 μL reaction for Lhcgr consisted of 100 ng + RT sample, 50% iQ Supermix, 2 mM MgCl2, 200 nM each primer (Eurogentec), 200 nM probe (Eurogentec) and 10% nuclease-free water (Table 2). A probe labelled with VIC (emitting fluorescence at 530 nm) was used for analysis of 18s expression and a probe labelled with FAM (emitting fluorescence at 490 nm) was used for analysis of Lhcgr expression. The qPCR programme began with an incubation at 50°C for 2 min, followed by 10 min at 95°C and then 40 amplification cycles. Each cycle consisted of denaturation for 15 s at 95°C followed by annealing for 1 min at 59.5°C. Target gene expression was determined using the ΔΔct comparative Ct (cycle threshold detection) method to normalise target gene expression against that of 18s rRNA expression. Expression of Lhcgr in granulosa cells was used to generate standard curves (with each dilution run in duplicate) for quantification of Lhcgr expression (dilution range: 90 000–370 pg).

Statistical analyses

Non-parametric tests were used for Lhcgr qPCR data analysis because, in many experiments, the data covered a wide range of values and were not normally distributed. Data were subjected to a Kruskal–Wallis test followed by a Dunn post-test to evaluate differences in Lhcgr expression throughout the oestrous cycle or differences in Lh expression and production by embryos in culture. Median differences were considered significant when P < 0.05. Parametric tests were used to evaluate data on LH expression and production by embryos in culture and on LH bioactivity on Leydig cells, and the statistical significance of the data was analysed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a Tukey post-test. Mean differences were considered significant when P < 0.05.

Results

Detection of a bioactive LH signal in the murine blastocyst at the mRNA and protein levels

To assess Lh transcripts produced by the murine embryo, total mRNA was extracted from 500 pooled mouse blastocysts and subjected to RT-PCR. As a positive control, RT-PCR was performed on RNA obtained from pituitary glandular cells. Six days after fertilisation, Lh transcription in mouse blastocysts was examined (Fig. 1). The PCR products were sequenced and found to correspond to Mus musculus lH (gi 930344).

Mouse LH was detected by RIA from 5–10 days after fertilisation in blastocysts (each sample contained 100 blastocysts; Fig. 2a) and in the embryo culture medium (FVB/NICO;
each sample represented LH produced by 50 blastocysts; Fig. 2b). The mouse blastocyst LH signal remained stable within the embryo from Day 5 to Day 9 (although a slight increase was observed between Day 6 and Day 8), but the quantity of LH produced and secreted by the embryos into the culture medium increased significantly from Day 5 to Day 10.

Mouse LH bioactivity was detected using an in vitro bioassay to measure the production of testosterone by Leydig cells that were stimulated with LH. The LH signal was identical to the signal identified by RIA within blastocysts (Fig. 2c) and in embryo culture medium (Fig. 2d). The LH bioactivity detected in embryos remained constant between Day 5 and Day 10 after fertilisation. Interestingly, the bioactivity of the LH detected in the embryo culture medium increased significantly (P < 0.001) after 7 days of culture. Figure 2e, f shows LH bioactivity detected in both the embryo proper and in the embryo culture medium. The results from this assay revealed that the LH bioactivity profile within the embryo remains constant (Fig. 2e), whereas the LH bioactivity profile in the embryo culture medium exhibited a highly significant increase from Day 5 to Day 10 (Fig. 2f).

Experiments conducted on pregnant mice on Day 7 of gestation resulted in the detection of an LH signal in Day 7 serum, implantation sites and embryos (Fig. 2g), with the highest level of LH observed in the serum.

Morphological characterisation of murine vaginal smears

To characterise the endometrial biopsies before performing qRT-PCR, sorting criteria were established owing to the absence of appropriate criteria in the literature. First, the mouse oestrous cycle was characterised chronologically by performing vaginal smears on 10 BALB/c mice four times a day for 5 days. Each stage was identified by assessing epithelial cell morphology and the presence or absence of leucocytes. Using these criteria, the following four stages were easily identified: (1) pro-oestrous stage, characterised by rounded epithelial cells and a discernible nucleus (HE staining); (2) the oestrous stage, characterised by epithelial cells that are keratinised and the absence of a discernible nucleus; (3) a metoestrous stage, characterised by the appearance of leucocytes among the keratinised cells; and (4) dioestrous, in which leucocytes are the major cell population in the vaginal smear (>50%) and epithelial cells are again visible.

Localisation of LHCGR in endometrial tissue

Intense LHCGR labelling was observed in luminal and glandular endometrial epithelium across the stages of the oestrous cycle (Fig. 3a–d). As expected, we did not observe any LHCGR labelling with the anti-LHCGR pAb in the pancreas, as expected (Fig. 3e, f). As a negative control, we used endometrium at the metoestrous stage incubated with goat IgG (Fig. 3g). Testis incubated with anti-LHCGR served as a positive control.

Western blot analysis of LHCGR protein

Proteins extracted from the endometrium across the different stages of the oestrous cycle were analysed by western blotting using a primary pAb against rat LHCGR protein. As shown in Fig. 4, the LHCGR protein was present at every stage of the oestrous cycle with a protein band of approximately 60 kDa. Protein lysates from mouse testis cells served as the positive control, whereas protein lysates from LurKO mouse testis cells served as the negative control. To control for gel loading, β-actin protein levels were also assessed by western blotting (molecular mass ~40 kDa).

Expression of Lhcg during the oestrous cycle

To determine the presence of Lhcg transcripts, total mRNA was extracted from the intact endometrium and subjected to RT-PCR. The PCR products were analysed by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. RNA extracted from granulosa cells and the pancreas was used as a positive and negative control, respectively. DNA contamination was also controlled during PCR by replacing cDNA with water in one sample. β-actin was chosen as the housekeeping gene. As shown in Fig. 5, Lhcg transcript detection was detected in the mouse endometrium at the four stages of the oestrous cycle. In addition, the PCR products were sequenced and subsequently identified as Mus musculus Lhcgr (gi 94471503).

Quantification of Lhcgr transcripts in endometrial cells

Endometrial cells were isolated from 79 uteri to quantify Lhcgr expression throughout the oestrous cycle. The endometrium was collected at each of the four stages of the oestrous cycle, namely pro-oestrous (n = 16), oestrous (n = 14), metoestrous (n = 25) and dioestrous (n = 24). To quantify Lhcgr transcripts, Lhcgr expression in granulosa cells was used to establish standard curves, with 18s used as the housekeeping gene against which Lhcgr expression was normalised (Fig. 5c). The mean level of Lhcgr expression was calculated for each stage of the oestrous cycle.

The mean (± s.e.m.) expression of Lhcgr increased from pro-oestrous (6.8 ± 1.1; median 5.855) to oestrous (9.9 ± 2.2; median 9.041), increased again from oestrous to metoestrous (15.0 ± 2.2; median 11.46) and reached a maximum at dioestrous (15.1 ± 2.3; median 13.72). These increases from one stage to the next were not statistically significant (P > 0.05), but the difference between metoestrous and pro-oestrous was significant (P = 0.00257). In addition, a significant decrease in Lhcgr expression was observed between dioestrous and the following pro-oestrous stage (P = 0.0245).

**Fig. 1.** The lh transcripts (259 bp) were detected in mouse blastocysts on Day 6 (Lane 4) after fertilisation. Water (Lane 1) was used as a technical control. The migration front of the primers appears as a fuzzy band in Lanes 1 and 4. Adenohypophysis (Lane 3) served as a positive control. EZ Load Precision Molecular Mass Standard (BioRad, Nazareth Eke, Belgium) was used to estimate the molecular weight scale (Lane 2).
Fig. 2. Quantification of LH in (a) mouse blastocysts (100 per sample) and (b) blastocyst culture medium (50 per sample) from Day (D) 5 to D10 after fertilisation (during implantation). (c, d) Results of the testosterone assay showing LH bioactivity from mouse blastocysts (c) and blastocyst culture medium (d) from D5 to D10 after fertilisation. (e, f) LH bioactivity determined by the bioassay of LH on Leydig cells for mouse blastocysts (e) and blastocyst culture medium (f) from D5 to D10 after fertilisation. (g) Quantification of LH expression in pregnant mice on gestation Day 7. Each sample represents one mouse for which all embryos and implantation sites were pooled. The LH concentrations decreased in the sera and embryos between early and late day of the study, whereas concentrations at implantation sites were stable. Data are expressed as mean ± s.e.m. *P < 0.05, ***P < 0.001.
Discussion
The specific interaction between blastocyst-derived hCG and endometrial LH/choriogonadotropin receptor (LHCGR) constitutes a fundamental exchange that highlights the molecular dialogue at the maternal–fetal interface.

According to our previous observations and those of other groups, hCG plays important paracrine roles in implantation, angiogenesis and immune tolerance (Perrier d’Hauterive et al. 2004, 2005; Edwards 2006; Licht et al. 2007; Rao and Lei 2007). Because of...
evidence indicating that LHCGR expression peaks during the implantation window in women and is the receptor of a specific embryo signal (V. Gridlet, S. Berndt, M. Tsampalas, V. Geenen and J. M. Foidart, unpubl. obs.), we have hypothesised that LHCGR may be an important new biomarker of that crucial period. To avoid ethical polemics inherent to the study of implantation in human females, we have used the murine model to explore the impact of LH–LHCGR cross-talk during implantation. The presence of a bioactive LH/hCG-like signal during the implantation window in mice favours this model because it shows that there is great similarity between mouse and human implantation. The aims of the present study were to determine whether mice generate a bioactive LH/hCG-like signal that could have an impact on implantation as is observed in humans and to investigate and quantify endometrial LHCGR expression throughout the oestrous cycle in BALB/c mouse at both the protein and mRNA levels.

We have demonstrated, for the first time, the presence of an LHCGR ligand/signal in mouse trophoblast cells. We have gone on to show that the murine blastocyst expresses and produces an LH signal very early during development, before implantation, as demonstrated in human development by Fishel et al. (1984), the ratio of lhcgr transcripts throughout the oestrous cycle. Results show that there is great similarity between mouse and human implantation. The aims of the present study were to determine whether mice generate a bioactive LH/hCG-like signal that could have an impact on implantation as is observed in humans and to investigate and quantify endometrial LHCGR expression throughout the oestrous cycle in the BALB/c mouse at both the protein and mRNA levels.

We have demonstrated, for the first time, the presence of an LHCGR ligand/signal in mouse trophoblast cells. We have gone on to show that the murine blastocyst expresses and produces an LH signal very early during development, before implantation, as demonstrated in human development by Fishel et al. (1984), and we have demonstrated that this LH signal is clearly bioactive. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the production of a bioactive LH signal by the mouse embryo. This demonstration indicates that the mouse may be a good model in which to study the role and impact of LHCR signalling during implantation. Moreover, we detected LH in vivo on gestation Day 7 in sera, embryos and implantations sites. There was a higher concentration of LH in the serum than in the embryos and at the implantation sites. These results do not mean that the LH found in the serum comes exclusively from the implanted embryo. The majority of the LH in the serum of pregnant mice could be from the pituitary gland before and after ovulation or could be the result of an increase in pregnancy-related hormones. Herein, we characterised the presence of an LHCGR ligand/signal in mouse trophoblast, a question that, to date, has never been clearly answered. We also showed that the murine blastocyst expressed and produced an LH signal very early during its development, just before implantation, as demonstrated before in humans (Fishel et al. 1984) and recently confirmed by Ramu et al. (2011) as early as Day 2. We showed that this LH signal is clearly bioactive. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the production of a bioactive LH signal by the embryo in the murine species. Moreover, we detected an in vivo LH signal on gestation Day 7 in the sera of pregnant mice, embryos and implantations sites. There was a higher concentration of serum LH compared with that in embryos and at the implantation sites. We cannot exclude the possibility that this pattern could be explained by a potential external source of LH (of pituitary origin, for example). However, a study by Bachelot...
et al. (2009) showed that female mice lacking the prolactin receptor (PRLR) are sterile due to a failure of embryo implantation, which could be a consequence of decreased LHCGR expression in the corpus luteum and inadequate levels of progesterone. In that study, female mice treated with hCG showed de novo expression of LHCGR in the corpora lutea that was not able to reverse the implantation defect (Bachelot et al. 2009) because, in mice, only prolactin is essential for the induction of the repression of progesterone catabolism, even if LH are stimulated by hCG. Together, these findings explain the viability of the mouse KO for the LHCGR embryos (Huhtaniemi et al. 2002): the prolactin itself and maternal pituitary LH production allow the maintenance of sufficient progesterone levels to maintain pregnancy.

The mouse Leydig cell assay described by Dufau et al. (1974) and Ding and Huhtaniemi (1989) was used in the present study to test for LH-like activity. In the male, production of testosterone by Leydig cells is regulated by LH. As such, testosterone production in Leydig cells exposed to LH provides a useful, sensitive and specific method of measuring bioactive LH levels. Our results confirmed that an LH/hCG signal is bioactive from Day 5 after fertilisation onwards and this bioactivity increases significantly in the embryo culture medium after Day 7; however, LH bioactivity remains constant in the embryos during this time. Immediately upon synthesis, LH is secreted by the embryonic cells. It is therefore not surprising to find a constant level of LH bioactivity in the embryos. We also assessed LH bioactivity (LH or hCG-like) produced and secreted by mouse embryos. Although LH bioactivity remains constant within the embryo, the LH bioactivity accumulates markedly within the embryo culture medium over time.

The murine oestrous cycle is divided into the following four stages: pro-oestrus, oestrus (or ovulation), metoestrus and dioestrus (Allen 1922). These stages can be distinguished by characterisation of cells in vaginal smears (Allen 1922), the appearance of the vagina (Champlin et al. 1973) or histological modifications of the endometrium and vagina (Allen 1922; Putti and Varano 1979). The stages of the oestrous cycle have been described in the rat (Kaasjager 1969). We rigorously redefined each stage of the mouse oestrous cycle by examining vaginal smears to more accurately identify the stages, which is essential for the study of the endometrial surface. Vaginal smears were taken every 5 h from BALB/c mice and stained with HE, as described by Allen (1922). This step is crucial because inaccurate characterisation of the endometrium can entirely skew the quantification of LHCGR expression and, hence, identification of the implantation window.

Studies performed over the past decade indicate that LHCGR is expressed in the human endometrium (Licht et al. 2003; Rao and Lei 2007); however, these findings are in contrast with those reported by Stewart et al. (1999). Zhang et al. (2001b) reported finding Lhcgr transcripts in the mouse reproductive tract. However, until now, this gene expression has not been quantified throughout the oestrous cycle. Using immunohistochemistry, we characterised LHCGR localisation in the endometrium and demonstrated intense labelling of the endometrial epithelium at every stage of the oestrous cycle. The labelling was particularly bright at the luminal surface of endometrial gland epithelial cells and in the lumen. This observation is very important, because this region of the endometrial surface facilitates binding of embryo signals such as the LH ligand. Pertinently, the endometrial epithelium is the first tissue encountered by the hatched embryo and LHCGR epithelial expression may reflect a pivotal role for this receptor during the adhesion phase of implantation. In addition, we used western blot analysis to examine LHCGR protein expression and found a 60-kDa protein corresponding to the LHCGR protein present throughout all stages of the oestrous cycle.

Using RT-PCR, we examined endometrial Lhcgr expression using mRNA extracted at pro-oestrus, oestrus, metoestrus and dioestrus. Our findings confirmed and extended the results reported by Mukherjee et al. (1994) that indicate that Lhcgr transcripts are present in the endometrium and are expressed throughout the oestrous cycle. Real-time RT-PCR quantification with the TaqMan method was used to quantify the level of Lhcgr expression throughout the cycle. We found that Lhcgr transcripts increased throughout the oestrous cycle from a median of 5.855 arbitrary units (AU) at pro-oestrus to 9.041 AU at oestrus to 11.46 AU at metoestrus, peaking at 13.72 AU at dioestrus. Indeed, the stages with higher Lhcgr expression correspond to the theoretical time of murine embryo implantation. After dioestrus, the expression level of Lhcgr dropped significantly back down to the pro-oestrus level of the next cycle. Our qRT-PCR results confirmed and extended findings in the mouse model reported by Bonnamy et al. (1990), who observed a cyclic variation in LHCGR binding to radiolabelled hCG in the rat endometrium. The increased Lhcgr expression at the time of implantation provides further support for a possible role of LHCGR signalling at the maternal–fetal interface during embryo implantation in mice. Our results also agree with those from a study by Chudgar et al. (2005), who found that LuRKO mice were not able to become pregnant after hormonal substitution or after orthotopic transplantation of wild-type ovaries. The contribution of uterine LHCGR signalling to the infertility of LuRKO mice was demonstrated by one group (Lin et al. 2005); however, conflicting results were published by Pakarainen et al. (2005), who were unable to show that orthotopic transplantation of wild-type ovaries into a LuRKO mouse resulted in impaired implantation. Nevertheless, although LHCGR has been identified previously in murine endometrium (Mukherjee et al. 1994) and an embryonic LH/hCG-like signal has been detected throughout the pregnancy in the conceptus, placenta (Wide and Wide 1979) and plasma (Gidley-Baird 1977), these findings were never confirmed or have been the subject of controversy in the field (Roberts et al. 1996). In addition, to date the endometrial LHCGR ligand has never been identified in mouse blastocysts. The debate as to the importance of LHCGR for implantation has remained open because of the uncertainty as to whether the embryo secretes an LH/hCG-like signal as a ligand for endometrial LHCGR. Our results clearly confirm that the endometrium expresses LHCGR and demonstrate that the embryo is capable of communicating with endometrium through its production of a bioactive LH/hCG-like signal.

Our findings strongly suggest that the LHCGR system is complete at the time of implantation, with both the hormone and
receptor being strongly expressed. In the future, an investigation of the binding of LH to the LHCRG should be undertaken to provide a better understanding of the LH-mediated cell signaling events that occur in the endometrium. It would also be interesting to identify the specific cells within the blastocyst (including the embryonic disc and associated membranes) that express LH mRNA and protein. A very critical experiment that would be interesting to perform would be to use a Cre/Lox system or a short interference RNA system to inactive gene expression of Lhcgr in the endometrium. This could elucidate definitely the controversy surrounding endometrial LH–LHCRG cross-talk at the time of implantation.

In conclusion, the results of the present study demonstrate LHCRG expression by BALB/c mouse endometrial epithelium with increased expression at the time of implantation and further argue for a possible contribution of LHCRG function in the implantation process. We have also demonstrated that a highly bioactive LH signal is produced by embryos at the time of murine blastocyst implantation. On the basis of a role for LHCRG as the specific receptor for a blastocyst signal and the expression profile of Lhcgr during the oestrous cycle, we propose that an LH–LHCRG interaction may mediate cross-talk between the embryo and the endometrium during the implantation process. Better understanding of LHCRG signalling-mediated events during implantation will provide us with a more comprehensive picture of the normal human implantation process. Finally, this understanding will provide insight into the impact that hCG therapy has on medically assisted procreation in human patients with implantation, endometrial or embryonic disorders.
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